Appendix A

In the United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

No. 77-3998
DC No. CV 69-2301

Walter Feinstein, Jason I. Green, Jack Kriegsman, Leo Miller and Morton H. Pastor,
Plaintiffs and Appellants,

vs.

Nettleship Company of Los Angeles, Pacific Indemnity Company, Insurance Company of North America, Hardware Mutual Casualty Company, American Re-Insurance Company and North American Re-Insurance Corporation,
Defendants and Appellees.

ORDER OF REMAND

[Filed Nov. 7, 1979]

Before: CHAMBERS, ANDERSON and SCHROEDER, Circuit Judges.

The case is remanded to the district court for reconsideration for a period of ninety-one days. Remand is prompted by the intervening decision of *St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Co. v. Barry*, 434 U.S. 919, 98 S.Ct. 391, 54 L.Ed. 2d 275.

It is suggested that the district court lift its final judgment before reconsidering the case. It is observed that appellees maintain that the decision below may be sustained on other grounds than *Addrisi v. Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States*, 503 F.2d 725 (9th Cir. 1974), overruled by *St. Paul Fire & Marine, supra.*

On any appeal from a new final judgment, records and briefs from this case may be transferred on motion or stipulation to the new numbered appeal. The existing panel of this court is to have any new appeal concerning the case below.

Appendix B

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

No. 77-3998

Walter Feinstein, Jason I. Green, Jack Kriegsman, Leo Miller and Morton H. Pastor,
Plaintiffs and Appellants,

vs.

Nettleship Company of Los Angeles, Pacific Indemnity Company, Insurance Company of North America, Hardware Mutual Casualty Company, American Re-Insurance Company and North American Re-Insurance Corporation,
Defendants and Appellees.

ORDER EXTENDING REMAND

[Filed Jan. 28, 1980]

Before: CHAMBERS, ANDERSON and SCHROEDER, Circuit Judges.

The remand to the district court is hereby extended by a period of 63 days from the date of the filing of this order.

Appendix C

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

No. 77-3998

Walter Feinstein, et al.,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

vs.

Nettleship Co. of Los Angeles, et al.,
Defendants-Appellees.

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE HEARING

[Filed May 20, 1980]

Before: CHAMBERS, ANDERSON and SCHROEDER, Circuit Judges.

The motion of plaintiffs-appellants Walter Feinstein, et al., for an immediate hearing by this Court, is denied.

Appendix D

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

No. 77-3998

Walter Feinstein, Jason I. Green, Jack Kriegsman, Leo Miller and Morton H. Pastor,
Plaintiffs and Appellants,

vs.

Nettleship Company of Los Angeles, Pacific Indemnity Company, Insurance Company of North America, Hardware Mutual Casualty Company, American Re-Insurance Company, and North American Re-Insurance Corporation,
Defendants and Appellees.

ORDER EXTENDING REMAND

[Filed June 19, 1980]

Before: CHAMBERS, ANDERSON and SCHROEDER, Circuit Judges.

The remand to the district court is hereby extended by a period of 91 days from the date of the filing of this order.

Appendix E

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

No. 77-3998
D.C. No. CV 69-2301 RJK

Walter Feinstein, et al.,
Plaintiffs and Appellants,

vs.

Nettleship Company of Los Angeles, et al.,
Defendants and Appellees.

No. 81-7434

Walter Feinstein, et al.,
Petitioners,

vs.

United States District Court for the
Central District of California,
Respondent,
and
Nettleship Company of Los Angeles, et al.,
Defendants-Real Parties In Interest

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF REMAND AND DENYING WRIT OF MANDAMUS

[Filed Aug. 21, 1981]

Before: CHAMBERS, ANDERSON and SCHROEDER, Circuit Judges.

The joint motion of the parties for an extension of the remand, in this limited remand case, is granted. The court grants an extension of time nunc pro tunc from the expiration of the last extension until the date of the filing of this order. The court also grants a further extension of 120 days from the date of the filing of this order.

Should the district judge determine that issues remain to be tried, and does not certify an interlocutory appeal or an appeal under Rule 54(b), FR Civ P, the parties shall so notify this court so as to permit the dismissal of the instant appeal.

Should the district judge grant an interlocutory appeal or an appeal under Rule 54(b), or if he shall determine that all remaining issues should be dismissed or summary judgment granted in favor of the defendants, the parties shall so notify this court so as to permit the present appeal to be resubmitted to the panel.

We have no intent by this order to tell the district judge how he should proceed on the issues and we leave to him any decisions about interlocutory appeals or Rule 54(b) appeals. Our only intent is to clarify the procedural status of the case in terms of the limited remand.

The plaintiffs'-appellants' motion for a writ of mandamus (No. 81-7434) is denied.

Appendix F

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

No. 77-3998
DC No. CV-69-2301-WMB
Central California

Walter Feinstein, et al.,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

vs.

Nettleship Company of Los Angeles, et al.,
Defendants-Appellees.

No. 82-7056

Walter Feinstein, et al.,
Petitioners,

vs.

United States District Court for the
Central District of California,
Respondent,
and
Nettleship Company of Los Angeles, et al.,
Real Parties in Interest.

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME AND DENYING WRIT OF MANDAMUS

[Filed Mar. 2, 1982]

Before: CHAMBERS, ANDERSON and SCHROEDER, Circuit Judges.

The court on its own motion, in this limited remand case (No. 77-3998), grants an extension of time of the remand nunc pro tunc from the expiration of the last remand until the date of entry of this order. The court also grants a further extension of thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this order.

The petition for a writ of mandamus (No. 82-7056) is denied.

Appendix G

United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

No. 77-3998
DC# CV-69-2301 WMB
Central California

Walter Feinstein, et al.,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

vs.

Nettleship Company of Los Angeles, et al.,
Defendants-Appellees.

No. 82-7205

Walter Feinstein, et al.,
Petitioners,

vs.

United States District Court for the
Central District of California,
Respondent,
and
Nettleship Co. of Los Angeles, et al.,
Real Parties in Interest.

ORDER

[Filed May 4, 1982]

Before: CHAMBERS, ANDERSON and SCHROEDER, Circuit Judges:

The court on its own motion, in this limited remand case (77-3998), grants an extension of time of the remand nunc pro tunc from the expiration of the last remand until the date of entry of this order. The court also grants a further extension of fifty-six (56) days from the date of entry of this order.

The petition for a writ of mandamus (No. 82-7205) is denied.